

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,984	04/07/2000	Peter J. Klein	44033-093	9861
23117 . 75	90 03/15/2004		EXAM	INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			WANG, SHENGJUN	
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/544,984	KLEIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shengjun Wang	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2003. This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 10-15,18 and 47-49 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 12-15 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10,11,18,47-49 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive n (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/544,984

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicants' remarks submitted December 10, 2003is acknowledged.

Double Patenting Rejections

- 1. Claims 8,9, 18 and 47-49 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 8 and 21 of copending Application No. 09/288556. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); band, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

3. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.731).

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,984

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 10, 11, 18, 47-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,103,730 for reasons set forth in the prior office action.

Claims 10-11 and 18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. Klein et al. (USPN 5,807,861) for reasons set forth in the prior office action.

Response to the Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to the double patenting rejections over US patent 6,133,274 are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn.

It is merely mentioned that claim 47 has not defined the meaning of the dot lines employed in formula I.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571)272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Shengjun Wang

SHENGJUN WANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 10, 2004